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In brief
Cooney et al. present a robust transcriptomic dataset for the warnowiid dinoflagellates, which are unique because they have an organelle similar to metazoan eyes. This dataset includes five different genera and reveals that heterotrophic taxa retain only part of the photosynthetic pathway, apparently lacking PS II and the Calvin cycle.
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SUMMARY

Warnowiid dinoflagellates contain a highly complex camera-eye-like structure called the ocelloid that is composed of different organelles resembling parts of metazoan eyes, including a modified plastid that serves as the retinal body.1 The overall structure of the ocelloid has been investigated by microscopy; because warnowiids are not in culture and are rare in nature, we know little about their function.1,2 Here, we generate single-cell transcriptomes from 18 warnowiid cells collected directly from the marine environment representing all 4 known genera and 1 previously undescribed genus, as well as 8 cells from a related lineage, the polykrikoids. Phylogenomic analyses show that photosynthesis was independently lost twice in warnowiids. Interestingly, the non-photosynthetic taxa still express a variety of photosynthesis-related proteins. Nematodinium and Warnowia (known or suspected to be photosynthetic1,3) unsurprisingly express a full complement of photosynthetic pathway components. However, non-photosynthetic genera with ocelloids were also found to express light-harvesting complexes, photosystem I, photosynthetic electron transport (PET), cytochrome b6f, and, in Erythropsidinium, plastid ATPase, representing all major complexes except photosystem II and the Calvin cycle. This suggests that the non-photosynthetic retinal body has retained a reduced but still substantial photosynthetic apparatus that perhaps functions using cyclic electron flow (CEF). This may support ATP synthesis in a reduced capacity, but it is also possible that the photosystem has been co-opted to function as a light-driven proton pump at the heart of the sensory mechanism within the complex architecture of ocelloids.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Cell collection and identification

Warnowiid dinoflagellates possess some of the most complex subcellular structures known. The most striking of these is the ocelloid—a miniature camera-eye-like structure composed of separate modified membrane-bound organelles resembling the iris, cornea, lens, and retina of multicellular metazoan eyes.1,4 The retinal body of the ocelloid is a derived plastid containing tightly packed wave-form thylakoid membranes and is thought to have lost photosynthesis and been modified to serve a photosensory role. Although the structure of the ocelloid has been explored in detail,1 its function in phototaxis remains speculative, as warnowiids are not in culture and are rare in nature, we know little about their function.1,2 Here, we generate single-cell transcriptomes from 18 warnowiid cells collected directly from the marine environment representing all 4 known genera and 1 previously undescribed genus, as well as 8 cells from a related lineage, the polykrikoids. Phylogenomic analyses show that photosynthesis was independently lost twice in warnowiids. Interestingly, the non-photosynthetic taxa still express a variety of photosynthesis-related proteins. Nematodinium and Warnowia (known or suspected to be photosynthetic1,3) unsurprisingly express a full complement of photosynthetic pathway components. However, non-photosynthetic genera with ocelloids were also found to express light-harvesting complexes, photosystem I, photosynthetic electron transport (PET), cytochrome b6f, and, in Erythropsidinium, plastid ATPase, representing all major complexes except photosystem II and the Calvin cycle. This suggests that the non-photosynthetic retinal body has retained a reduced but still substantial photosynthetic apparatus that perhaps functions using cyclic electron flow (CEF). This may support ATP synthesis in a reduced capacity, but it is also possible that the photosystem has been co-opted to function as a light-driven proton pump at the heart of the sensory mechanism within the complex architecture of ocelloids.
Figure 1. Maximum likelihood phylogenies of Gymnodiniales SSU and LSU rRNA gene sequences

Node values show bootstrap support, with values of 100 indicated by black circles. Sequences from cells isolated in the present study are in bold. Taxa denoted with an asterisk are truncated sequences. Scale bars indicate the number of nucleic acid substitutions per site and are accompanied by the substitution models used to infer each tree. Full trees and associated alignments can be found at https://doi.org/10.5061/dryad.nzs7h44vf.
consistency between overall morphology and their position in rRNA trees lends their genus-level identification strong support. All supplemental videos are available at https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.7269039.

Two cells clearly contained an ocelloid but did not match the characteristics of any known warnowiid genera, forming an independent branch within warnowiids in rRNA trees (Figure 1). We characterize these as a new genus, *Cyklopsia* gen. nov. (formal description with type species in Data S1).

*Cyklopsia* cells shared a similar but not identical overall morphology; they are notably smaller than all other warnowiids in this study (Figures 2I and 2J), with proportionately large ocelloids, the retinal bodies of which are uniquely translucent red color (Video S6). They appear to contain nematocyst-like extrusomes. The SSU and LSU rRNA gene sequences from these cells were also highly similar, but not identical, suggesting that they represent two species (Figure 1).

### Transcriptome sequencing and phylogenomic analysis

Single-cell transcriptomes were prepared from each of the 26 individual cells. The quality of single-cell transcriptomes included in the multi-gene phylogenomic analysis varied, but in general, at least one cell from each genus yielded a transcriptome containing more than 50% of conserved genes in BUSCO v5 coverage estimates against the alveolata_odb10 database. *Nematodinium* was the exception, with the most complete transcriptome-producing coverage estimates of just under 30% (Table S1). In cases where cells produced very low yields (e.g., *Proterythropsis* cells Pr-FC1 and Pr-FC4), the genus was otherwise well-represented by other transcriptomes in the dataset.

In a maximum likelihood (ML) phylogeny inferred from an alignment of 222 conserved genes (53,387 sites), the cells fell into the same genus-level clusters as inferred from morphology and rRNA trees, and all relationships between warnowiid genera were resolved with full support (Figure 3). *Erythropsidinium* is sister to the rest of the warnowiids, which formed two additional groups: a clade consisting of *Nematodinium*/*Warnowia* and a clade consisting of *Proterythropsis*/*Cyklopsia*. This strongly supported topology shows that photosynthesis was lost twice independently in warnowiids: once in the ancestor of *Erythropsidinium* and once in the ancestor of *Proterythropsis* and *Cyklopsia*. *Polykrikos kofoidii* cells all branched with the previously reported species, *Polykrikos lebouriae*, but surprisingly, both ML and Bayesian analyses placed two other dinoflagellates, *Gymnodinium catenatum* and *Lepidodinium chlorophorum*, as a more immediate sister group to the warnowiid clade than the polykrikoids, with full support. This suggests that these more conventional and photosynthetic taxa are the closest
relatives to warnowiids, and because polykrikoids are the only other dinoflagellates with complex nematocysts similar to those found in some warnowiids, it also suggests that complex nematocysts have been lost both within the warnowiids and within their closest relatives.

Evidence for photosystems in the ocelloid retinal body

As most warnowiids lack visible photosynthetic pigment and possess sophisticated structures for predation, the majority of them have been assumed to be heterotrophic, and the ocelloid retinal body is by extension considered to be a non-photosynthetic plastid.\(^1\) The clear exception to this is Nematodinium, which has distinct pigmented plastid regions as well as an ocelloid retinal body and is considered to be mixotrophic.\(^1\) The genus Warnowia may also include photosynthetic members, as some have been reported to contain pigmented plastid-like structures.\(^3\) The overlapping traits of some Warnowia and Nematodinium suggest that some photosynthetic plastid-bearing species can change the composition of plastids within the cell, sometimes appearing non-photosynthetic.\(^7\) Moreover, a handful of photosynthesis-related genes have been reported from Warnowia and Erythropsidinium, and autofluorescence of the retinal body has also raised the question of whether these ostensibly heterotrophic cells might retain photosynthesis.\(^1,2\)

We comprehensively identified genes involved in a wide range of photosynthetic functions across the entire known diversity of warnowiids (Figure 4). Transcripts for genes involved in all aspects of typical light harvesting, linear electron flow, and photosynthesis were not surprisingly found in Nematodinium and Warnowia, confirming their proposed photosynthetic capabilities. For both lineages, the absences of transcripts for a few individual genes scattered among photosystems are most likely due to sampling errors stemming from transcriptome incompleteness (and especially low coverage in the case of Nematodinium). More surprisingly, however, heterotrophic warnowiids were also found to express genes for proteins involved in photosystem I (PS I), cytochrome b_{6f} (petA, petB, petC, and petD), plastoquinol-oxidizing plastid terminal oxidase (PTOX), electron transport (petF, petH, and petJ), and light-harvesting complexes (FCP and PCP). Transcripts for ATP synthase proteins (atpA-atpI) were also found in Erythropsidinium, but only a single gene (atpF) was found in both Prototrophys and Cyklopsia; in both cases, the atpF was highly divergent (Figure S2). Overall, this suggests the possible loss of the ATP synthase complex as a whole in these taxa with atpF retained as a relic or in a derived form with a newly assumed function. This would mean that the complex was differentially retained in the two clades that lost photosynthesis independently.

Figure 3. Maximum likelihood (ML) phylogeny of dinoflagellates inferred from an alignment of 222 conserved genes

Node values show bootstrap support, with values of 100 indicated by black circles at nodes. Cell sequences from the present study are bolded. Shortened branch is half its original length. Scale bar indicates the number of amino acid substitutions per site and is accompanied by the mixture model used to infer the tree. Presence of ocelloids, photosynthetic mechanisms (photo. mech.), rhodopsins, and nematocysts are mapped onto lineages, indicated by large, black-filled circles. Lineages missing PS II are indicated with a half circle. See also Table S1.
Several of the photosynthesis-related genes found in the warnowiids are among the 12 typically encoded in plastid genomes of photosynthetic dinoflagellates. Among the non-truncated photosystem, cytochrome b6f, and ATP synthase transcripts captured from warnowiids, those corresponding to typical plastid-encoded genes lacked N-terminal extensions, whereas the rest had characteristic plastid-targeting signal peptides (Figure S3). This is consistent with previous evidence that the warnowiid ocelloid has retained its plastid genome, but most photosynthesis-related genes are likely encoded in the nucleus and targeted post-translationally to the plastid as they are in other photosynthetic dinoflagellates.1,11,12 In keeping with this, warnowiids also expressed the two chloroplast inner-membrane translocon genes known in dinoflagellates (TIC20 and TIC110) and components of the twin arginine transporter pathway (tatA-C), confirming that they possess a mechanism for plastid protein import and a system for translocation of proteins into the thylakoid.13–15 One sequence each of secA and secY were found in Proterythropsis and Erythropsidinium, respectively (secE was not found), suggesting that this alternative pathway for import of proteins into the thylakoid is also present but weakly expressed.16 Because Tat and Sec pathways are responsible for the import of different proteins, respectively, the presence of both mechanisms suggests that thylakoid import in warnowiids is not particularly limited, even in heterotrophic taxa.

Figure 4. Schematic of photosynthesis-related gene transcripts in warnowiids and the greater Gymnodiniales clade
Top: cladogram shows the relationships between warnowiids and their relatives, with photosynthetic lineages highlighted in green. Bars adjacent to branches represent BUSCO coverage estimates (compared against alveolata_odb10) of all combined transcriptomes of each taxon, showing the proportion of complete, fragmented, and missing orthologs. Green and white squares indicate the presence and absence of plastid genes associated with the photosynthetic apparatus: photosystem II (PS II), plastoquinone pool (PQ), cytochrome b6f (Cyt b6), photosystem I (PS I), photosynthetic electron transport (PET), ATP synthase (ATP), light harvesting (LH), cyclic electron flow (CEF), twin arginine translocation (TAT), Calvin cycle (CC), and translocons of the inner chloroplast membrane (TICs). PetF (ferredoxin) and PetH (ferredoxin-NADP(H) reductase) are also known as Fd and FNR, respectively. PetH (and associated NADPH production) is grayed to indicate the possible variability of its role in different plastids. Column headers for genes in PS II are shorthand for genes named psbA-psb27. Similarly, headers for genes in PS I are shorthand for psa prefixed gene names. PTOX, plastid terminal oxidase. Headers in Cyt b6 and PET are shorthand for pet prefixed gene names. For ATP headers, (A)–(E) ATP subunits alpha (atpA), beta (atpB), delta (atpD), and epsilon (atpE); (F) ATP subunit b (atpF). FCP, fucoxanthin chlorophyll binding protein; PCP, peridinin chlorophyll binding protein; PS, proton gradient regulation 5 (PGR5); PL1, PGR5-like protein 1; NDH2, type I NADH dehydrogenase complex. Headers under TAT are shorthand for tatA-tatC. RBC, ribulose-1,5-bisphosphate carboxylase-oxygenase or RuBisCo; PRK, phosphoribulokinase. Headers under TIC stand for TIC20 and TIC110. Bolded column headers in gray circles indicate genes typically encoded in dinoflagellate plastid genomes. Bottom: schematic of the photosynthetic and other components present in typical photosynthetic dinoflagellates with those in heterotrophic warnowiids colored pink. Dark pink indicates proteins present in all heterotrophic warnowiids while light pink components are only found in Erythropsidinium. Thin, black arrows depict electron flow, with dotted lines showing the pathways likely absent in heterotrophic warnowiids. Blue arrows show the flow of protons and thick black arrows show the movement of proteins. Large membrane represents that of the thylakoid, while the smaller membrane represents the inner-most chloroplast membrane that separates the stroma from the intermembrane space (IMS). See also Figures S2–S4.
Of the genes known that could facilitate cyclic electron flow (CEF)—proton gradient regulation 5 (PRG5), PRG5-like protein 1 (PRGL1), and type II NADH dehydrogenase (NDH2)—NDH2 was recovered in the warnowiids. All taxa also expressed genes for plastid-targeted proteins relating to heme, isoprenoid, and iron-sulfur cluster biosynthetic pathways, which are typically retained in heterotrophic dinoflagellates even after photosynthesis is lost in the plastid (Figure S4). Transcripts for petH, which may function in electron transfer from PS I, were present in all warnowiids.

Overall, the strongly prevailing pattern is that heterotrophic warnowiids are consistently missing transcripts for all photosystem II (PS II) and Calvin-cycle-specific components. Although it is possible that this is due to a lack of detection or the specific downregulation of these genes, neither of these explanations is compatible with the fact that the data come from multiple transcriptomes, many of them with deep coverage of other pathways in general and from multiple cells for each genus, collected at different times and under different conditions. The pattern of absence for these normally highly expressed genes correlates only with a single factor: the phyllogenomic tree. The conclusion that these genes have been lost is bolstered by the clear pattern of presence/absence displayed by Nematodinium, Warnowia, and non-warnowiid lineages sampled in this study, where transcripts of PS II components were consistently found, even in Nematodinium transcriptomes with comparatively low coverage. In contrast, the non-photosynthetic polykrikoid transcriptomes consistently did not recover any putative photosynthesis-related genes, suggesting that the photosynthesis transcripts found in the warnowiids are unlikely to be false positives in the form of functionally divergent homologs. Although full genome sequencing is the only way to conclusively demonstrate the absence of an individual gene, the pattern of absence of large sets of highly conserved, highly expressed, and functionally related genes like in PS II is most simply explained by the loss of the complex.

**A repurposed photosynthetic apparatus?**

The presence of photosynthesis-related genes in all warnowiids raises the question of whether lineages assumed to be heterotrophic are in fact mixotrophs. However, without PS II or crucial Calvin cycle components, these cells should be incapable of CO₂ fixation. An alternative hypothesis is that PS II genes are present but downregulated. This photoacclimation strategy has been documented in some microalgae, including dinoflagellates, where decreasing the ratio of PS II to PS I leads to the cyclic flow of electrons (CEF) around PS I to temporarily reduce reactive oxygen species (ROS) from PS II activity that induce cellular damage. The symbiotic dinoflagellate *Symbiodinium* is thought to employ CEF in response to temperature change in coral hosts, as several strains have been shown to increase PS I activity under warming conditions. The Ross Sea dinoflagellate (RSD) has also been shown to use CEF in its haptophyte kleptoplasts, as PS II activity has been shown to decrease in the plastids it steals. In this case, however, CEF is hypothesized to be used not as protection from ROS but instead because acquiring PS II requires the transfer of seven genes from its food alga, whereas only two are needed to use PS I and CEF.

The situation in RSD is very different from that in warnowiids because warnowiid photosynthesis genes are not recently acquired but instead descend from the ancestral peridinin-type plastid. The absence of PS II transcripts must therefore be the result of loss or downregulation. Downregulation is, similar to sampling error, unlikely to explain the consistent pattern across so many individual cells collected from different genera, times, and locations because in other species that use downregulation of PS II (e.g., *Symbiodinium*), it is a temporary physiological reaction to light stress. Overall, the consistent pattern of PS II absence in warnowiids is more consistent with the irreversible loss of the entire complex. Based on the phylogenetic position of *Nematodinium* and Warnowia, the putative loss of PS II and the Calvin cycle must have occurred twice independently in *Erythropsidinium* and the common ancestor of *Cyklopsia* and *Proterythropsis*. This also presents the possibility that there are differences between the plastid biology of these two lineages, possibly including ATP synthase components that were almost universally present in *Erythropsidinium* but absent in *Cyklopsia* and *Proterythropsis*, with the exception of the divergent *atpF*. As with PS II, ATP synthase expression can be diminished in conditions of high light stress or nutrient-poor conditions, neither of which is likely to have occurred uniformly across the cells collected in this study.

How a proton gradient would be utilized in the absence of the ATPase complex is not clear, but diverse symporers also use proton gradients and are likely alternatives.

Few mechanistic advantages have been proposed in cases of either the loss or the downregulation of PS II other than the reduction of oxidative stress. Although CEF around PS I can provide a source of ATP, the lack of ATP synthase expression in *Cyklopsia* and *Proterythropsis* is inconsistent with this mechanism. As the loss of both PS II and ribulose-1,5-bisphosphate carboxylase (RuBiSCo) has been confirmed in the uncultivated nitrogen-fixing cyanobacterium UCYN-A, this reduction may also create more favorable conditions for nitrogen fixation. However, in warnowiids, there is no evidence for this. Instead, the fact that this rare configuration is associated with the highly modified and singularly unique ocelloid raises the intriguing possibility that warnowiids have repurposed the photosynthetic apparatus to perform a sensory role using cyclic electron transport.

If the ocelloid is a light-sensing organelle complex, then the retinal body most likely uses light to create an ion gradient to initiate a sensory transduction cascade. Previously, the photoactive protein rhodopsin has been hypothesized to play this role because proton-pumping proteorhodopsin has been reported in *Erythropsidinium*. We found proteorhodopsin and retinal synthesis (diox!) transcripts in Warnowia, but not in any heterotroph (Figure S4). Moreover, rhodopsins have never been shown to localize to the inside of the retinal body or indeed into any plastid in any eukaryote, and the sequences we recovered from Warnowia lack an N-terminal plastid-targeting extension. This all makes rhodopsins unlikely candidates for a plastid proton pump. However, this may not be that surprising when one considers that the plastid is already home to a well-known light-driven proton pump: the photosystems. Indeed, inserting a second proton pump into the same membrane as the photosystems might be physiologically harmful, since it would create potential problems for regulating both systems independently. Overall, we propose a much simpler explanation that the plastid adopted a sensory role not by gaining new functions but rather by repurposing the pre-existing gradient-inducing transmembrane system already present in cytochrome
b_{PS} and PS I; this system could take on a sensory role in the ocelloid simply by coupling it with a downstream proton-driven signal transduction mechanism that detects either an excess of ions in the thylakoid lumen or a paucity of ions in the stroma. Although this might seem like a radical functional shift for photosystems, it is substantially simpler than proposing the plastid had to be invadied by another light-driven proton pump when one already exists, which importantly is also inconsistent with the transcriptomic data.

It must be pointed out that no signal transduction mechanisms have been described in this lineage, and indeed, the sensory role of the ocelloid may have been misinterpreted altogether. This needs to be tested experimentally (perhaps requiring cultivation), but the strong resemblance of the ocelloid to camera eyes in animals and the many examples of much simpler eye spots used by many other lineages of protist (including other dinoflagellates) together make this the most likely function of the organelle, perhaps with ATP production as a secondary byproduct in some lineages.

The expansion of warnowiid molecular data has allowed us to resolve the relationships between and around these taxa and provides a data pool for future exploration into the unique biology of this group. In this vein, we present evidence that the ocelloid plastid retains and uses a subset of the ancestral photosynthetic apparatus, perhaps by utilizing CEF. The apparent absence of RuBisCO and the Calvin cycle suggests that the remaining components are used for something other than photosynthesis, which would add to a growing list of oddities that characterize this group.
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### STAR METHODS

#### KEY RESOURCES TABLE

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>REAGENT or RESOURCE</th>
<th>SOURCE</th>
<th>IDENTIFIER</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Chemicals, peptides, and recombinant proteins</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Triton X-100</td>
<td>Sigma-Aldrich</td>
<td>cat. no. T9284</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>dNTP mix</td>
<td>ThermoFisher</td>
<td>cat. no. R0192</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>First-strand buffer</td>
<td>ThermoFisher</td>
<td>cat. no. 18064-014</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Superscript II reverse transcriptase</td>
<td>ThermoFisher</td>
<td>cat. no. 18064-014</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Recombinant Ribonuclease Inhibitor</td>
<td>ThermoFisher</td>
<td>cat. no. 10777019</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Betaine</td>
<td>Sigma-Aldrich</td>
<td>cat. no. B0300</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Magnesium chloride</td>
<td>Sigma-Aldrich</td>
<td>cat. no. M8266</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>KAPA HIFI HotStart ReadyMix (2x)</td>
<td>Fisher Scientific</td>
<td>cat. no. 50-196-5299</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ampure XP beads</td>
<td>ThermoFisher</td>
<td>cat. no. Q32854</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>UltraPure DNase/RNase-Free Distilled Water</td>
<td>ThermoFisher</td>
<td>cat. no. 10977023</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ethyl alcohol anhydrous</td>
<td>Greenfield Global</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DTT</td>
<td>ThermoFisher</td>
<td>cat. no. 18064-014</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Critical commercial assays</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nextera XT</td>
<td>Illumina</td>
<td>FC-131-1024</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nextera</td>
<td>Illumina</td>
<td>FC-131-1024</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Deposited data</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Raw sequencing reads</td>
<td>This paper</td>
<td>NCBI SRA: PRJNA922587</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SSU sequences</td>
<td>This paper</td>
<td>GenBank: OP604718-OP604743</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LSU sequences</td>
<td>This paper</td>
<td>GenBank: OP604692-OP604717</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Experimental models: Organisms/strains</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Proteryrhopsis sp.</td>
<td>This paper</td>
<td>N/A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nematodinium sp.</td>
<td>This paper</td>
<td>N/A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Warnowia sp.</td>
<td>This paper</td>
<td>N/A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Erythropsidinium sp.</td>
<td>This paper</td>
<td>N/A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cyclopsis gemma</td>
<td>This paper</td>
<td>N/A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cyclopsis sp.</td>
<td>This paper</td>
<td>N/A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Polykrikos kofoidii</td>
<td>This paper</td>
<td>N/A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Oligonucleotides</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Oligo-dT30VN (50–AAGCAGTGTTATCAACGCAGAGTACT30VN-30)</td>
<td>Picelli et al.</td>
<td>N/A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IS-PCR oligo (50–AAGCAGTGTTATCAACGCGAGGACATGrG+G-30)</td>
<td>Picelli et al.</td>
<td>N/A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TSO (50–AAGCAGTGTTATCAACGCAGGACATGrG+G-30)</td>
<td>Picelli et al.</td>
<td>N/A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Software and algorithms</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cutadapt</td>
<td>Martin</td>
<td><a href="http://code.google.com/p/cutadapt/">http://code.google.com/p/cutadapt/</a></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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RESOURCE AVAILABILITY

**Lead contact**
Requests for further information, resources, and reagents can be directed to and will be fulfilled by the lead contact, Elizabeth Cooney (lizcooney22@gmail.com).

**Materials availability**
This study did not generate new unique reagents.

**Data and code availability**
- Transcriptome sequencing reads have been deposited in the NCBI Short Read Archive (SRA): PRJNA922587 and are publicly available as of the date of publication. Accession numbers are listed in the key resources table.
- This paper does not report original code.
- Any additional information required to reanalyze the data reported in this paper is available from the lead contact upon request.

EXPERIMENTAL MODEL AND STUDY PARTICIPANT DETAILS

All sample collections were conducted along the shorelines of English Bay in Vancouver and the Hakai Quadra Island Ecological Observatory in British Columbia from May 2020 to July 2022. Net tows (20 μm mesh) were deployed from public boat docks at Snauq (False Creek) and Jericho Beach Pier, and from a rocky outcropping on Quadra Island. Specific dates and locations for each sample can be found in Table S1. After each collection, samples were viewed under a Leica DM IL microscope within an hour to search for interesting and understudied dinoflagellate taxa. *Proterythropsis* sp., *Cyklopsia* spp., *Nematodinium* sp., *Warnowia* sp., *Erythropsidinium* sp., and *Polykrikos kofoidii* cells were isolated from samples using a microcapillary pipet and washed with water that had been syringe filtered (0.2 μm) from the corresponding sample. Each cell was imaged (stills and video) using a Sony A7r III and then placed into lysis buffer. Isolated cells were stored at -70°C until cDNA extraction.

METHOD DETAILS

**Sequencing and transcriptome assembly**
Extraction of mRNA and synthesis of cDNA for each sample was performed according to the Smart-seq2 protocol. Libraries were prepared at the Sequencing and Bioinformatics Consortium, University of British Columbia, and sequenced on the Nextseq platform.

---

**REAGENT or RESOURCE** | **SOURCE** | **IDENTIFIER**
--- | --- | ---
MAFFT | Katoh and Standley | https://mafft.cbrc.jp/alignment/software/
IQ-Tree | Nguyen et al. | http://www.iqtree.org/
Transdecoder | Haas et al. | https://github.com/TransDecoder/TransDecoder/wiki
BUSCO | Simão et al. | https://busco.ezlab.org
FigTree | Rambaut | https://beast.community/figtre
(raw data: SRA project PRJNA922587). Trimming of forward and reverse raw reads was performed using Cutadapt before assembly with maSPAdes v3.15.1. Decontamination of each assembly was achieved by using BLASTx and BLASTn to search NCBI nt and Uniprot (The Uniprot Consortium 2021) reference databases respectively to remove bacterial, metazoan, diatom, and sequences from other taxa that had been observed in the environmental samples. Contaminants were identified for removal using BlobTools. Nucleotide transcriptions were translated to peptides using TransDecoder v5.5.0 (https://github.com/TransDecoder/TransDecoder/wiki) for open reading frame identification and annotation and BLASTP to search with an e-value threshold of $1 \times 10^{-5}$ against the Uniprot database. All assemblies are available at https://doi.org/10.5061/dryad.nzs7h44vf.

**Identification and phylogenomic analysis**

Cells could be taxonomically identified to the genus level based on morphology with the exception of Cy-JP1, and Cy-FC2. The most complete sequences for SSU and LSU rRNA genes (Genbank accession numbers OP604692-OP604743) were extracted from each transcriptome using BLASTn and submitted as queries in megaBLAST searches against Genbank to confirm these identities. Sequences were combined with curated collections of dinoflagellate SSU and LSU sequence data, aligned with MAFFT v.7.48, trimmed at a 30% gap threshold with trimAl v.3 and trees were inferred for each gene alignment using IQ-TREE v1.6.12. Trees were generated using the ultrafast bootstrap approximation (UFBoot) and ModelFinder was used to select the best fit models of GTR+F+I+G4 and TIM3+F+I+G4 for SSU and LSU trees respectively.

A phylogenomic analysis was performed by using 263 curated alignments of conserved genes as queries in a series of BLASTP searches. Resulting hits were added to their respective alignments which were then trimmed in trimAl (80% gap threshold) and used to infer single gene trees with IQ-TREE (model: LG+G). Each tree was then visually inspected in FigTree v1.4.4 to remove contaminants, paralogs, and isoforms, leaving behind a maximum of one best representative sequence per transcriptome. Cleaned alignments were parsed using SCaFoS v4.55 to select the taxa and genes (those present in $\geq 60\%$ of final taxa) that would be included in the analysis. A maximum likelihood (ML) tree was inferred from the concatenated, parsed gene alignments using the model LG+C60+F+I+G4. A Bayesian analysis was performed in four parallel runs on the same alignment in Phylobayes-MPI with the model CAT+GTR+G4. Chains were allowed to run until they surpassed 10,000 iterations, at which point the first 10% of trees were removed as burn-in and a consensus tree was constructed from all parallel runs (available at https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.7269039).

**Plastid protein search**

Searches were performed against all transcriptomes for genes of plastid origin to confirm the presence of plastids and look for evidence of photosynthetic mechanisms. Among these were heme, isoprenoid, and iron-sulfur cluster pathway enzymes, which are typically found in non-photosynthetic taxa targeted from the nucleus to the reduced plastid. Searches were also performed for photosynthesis related genes associated with photosystems II and I, cytochrome b6f, plastoquinol oxidation, plastid electron transport (PET), light harvesting, ATP synthesis, cyclic electron flow (CEF), the Calvin cycle. Ribulose-1,5-bisphosphate carboxylase (RuBisCo) and phosphoribulokinase (PRK) were the only Calvin cycle genes included in this search because they are the only genes unique to this process. Other Calvin cycle genes were found to be present in both photosynthetic and heterotrophic lineages outside of the warnowiidts and were therefore not reported here, as their presence is not indicative of Calvin cycle activity. A search for rhodopsin was performed using a curated alignment containing the putative Erythropsidinium homolog (BAQS95866.1), as well as for retinal synthesis pathway proteins, crtB, crtI, crtY, and diox1. Protein import across the innermost membrane of the chloroplast (TIC20, TIC110) and the thylakoid membrane (tatA, tatB, tatC, secA, secE, secY) were also investigated in warnowiidts.

All BLAST searches were performed with an e-value threshold of $1 \times 10^{-10}$. Resulting BLAST hits were trimmed of extraneous sequence extensions and combined with their respective queries, aligned, and trimmed with an 80% gap threshold. Trees were inferred from trimmed alignments in IQ-TREE (model: LG+G) to differentiate relevant homologs from contaminants and paralogs. Trees were visually inspected for contaminants, which were removed from original alignments before realigning and trimming as described above, and producing the final single gene trees in IQ-TREE with UFBoot (model: LG+F+I+G4). Interpreting the presence and absence of retinal pathway components was difficult because their respective carotenoid products can be used in capacities other than rhodopsin-associated retinal synthesis. The phylogeny of diox1 includes many homologous genes in the same family, the functions of which are not known, so only the closest clade of dinoflagellate homologs grouping with known retinal-producing diox1 (BAAl18428.1) were counted as present.

The N-termini of all warnowiid transcripts associated with PSI, cytochrome b$_6$f, PSI and ATP synthase, were inspected for plastid targeting signal peptides to determine if the presence and absence of these extensions correspond to the genes thought to be encoded in the nuclear and plastid genomes, respectively. First, transcripts were compared against prokaryotic sequences in alignments to determine if an N-terminal extension was present. When extensions were present, they were entered into SignalP v3.0 and visually inspected for the “FVAP” motif characteristic of dinoflagellate plastid targeting signals to confirm their identity.

**QUANTIFICATION AND STATISTICAL ANALYSIS**

Statistical support for the ML phylogenetic tree was inferred using the model LG+C60+F+I+G4 and 1000 bootstrap replicates. Bayesian analysis was performed on the same alignment in four parallel runs with the model CAT+GTR+G4 in Phylobayes-MPI.