

ORIGINAL ARTICLE

**Taxonomy of the Apicomplexan Symbionts of Coral, including Coralicolida ord. nov., Reassignment of the Genus *Gemmocystis*, and Description of New Species *Corallicola aquarius* gen. nov. sp. nov. and *Anthozoaphila gnarlus* gen. nov. sp. nov.**

Waldan K. Kwong<sup>a</sup> , Nicholas A.T. Irwin<sup>a</sup>, Varsha Mathur<sup>a</sup>, Ina Na<sup>a</sup>, Noriko Okamoto<sup>a</sup>, Mark J.A. Vermeij<sup>b,c</sup> & Patrick J. Keeling<sup>a</sup>

a Department of Botany, University of British Columbia, Vancouver, British Columbia, V6T 1Z4, Canada

b Aquatic Microbiology, Institute for Biodiversity and Ecosystem Dynamics, University of Amsterdam, Science Park 700, Amsterdam, 1098 XH, The Netherlands

c CARMABI Foundation, PO Box 2090, Piscaderabaai z/n, Willemstad, Curaçao

**Keywords**

Apicomplexa; genomics; microscopy; phylogenetics; plastids.

**Correspondence**

W.K. Kwong and P.J. Keeling, Department of Botany, University of British Columbia, 3529-6270 University Boulevard, Vancouver, BC V6T 1Z4, Canada

Telephone number: +1 (604) 822-2845; FAX number: +1 (604) 822-6089; e-mails: waldankwong@gmail.com (WKK), pkeeling@mail.ubc.ca (PJK)

Received: 23 November 2020;  
 revised 27 February 2021;  
 accepted March 12, 2021.  
 Early View publication 21 April 2021

doi:10.1111/jeu.12852

**ABSTRACT**

Corals (Metazoa; Cnidaria; Anthozoa) have recently been shown to play host to a widespread and diverse group of intracellular symbionts of the phylum Apicomplexa. These symbionts, colloquially called “corallicolids”, are mostly known through molecular analyses, and no formal taxonomy has been proposed. Another apicomplexan, *Gemmocystis cylindrus* (described from the coral *Dendrogyra cylindrus*), may be related to corallicolids, but lacks molecular data. Here, we isolate and describe motile trophozoite (feeding) corallicolid cells using microscopic (light, SEM, and TEM) and molecular phylogenetic analysis to provide the basis for a formal description. Phylogenetic analyses using nuclear and plastid rRNA operons, and three mitochondrial protein sequences derived from single cell transcriptomes, all confirm that these organisms fall into a discrete deep-branching clade within the Apicomplexa not closely related to any known species or major subgroup. As a result, we assign this clade to a new order, Coralicolida ord. nov., and family, Coralicolidae fam. nov. We describe a type species, *Corallicola aquarius* gen. nov. sp. nov. from its *Rhodactis* sp. host, and also describe a second species, *Anthozoaphila gnarlus* gen. nov. sp. nov., from the coral host *Madracis mirabilis*. Finally, we propose reassigning the incertae sedis taxon *G. cylindrus* from the order Agamococcidiorida to the Coralicolida, based on similarities in morphology and host localization to that of the corallicolids.

THE phylum Apicomplexa is a diverse lineage of intracellular symbionts of animals that infect cells of virtually all animal species that have been examined. In addition to well-studied vertebrate hosts, such as humans (e.g. *Toxoplasma*, *Plasmodium*), cattle and chicken (*Eimeria*), and dogs (*Hepatozoon*), a large number of invertebrates are also known to harbor apicomplexans (Rueckert et al. 2019). While commonly classified as obligate parasites, apicomplexans can in reality engage in a range of interactions with their hosts, including mutualism and

commensalism (Paight et al. 2019; Rueckert et al. 2019). Apicomplexans evolved from phototrophic ancestors, retain a relict non-photosynthetic plastid, and are closely related to a collection of free-living predators and two species of photosynthetic algae that are associated with coral reef environments (Janouškovec et al. 2010; Mathur et al. 2018; McFadden and Waller, 1997; Moore et al. 2008). Recent studies have identified another link with corals, in this case stemming from environmental sequence data that revealed the presence of an apicomplexan lineage

that is prevalent in corals worldwide (Janouškovec et al. 2012; Kwong et al. 2019; Mathur et al. 2018; Vohsen et al. 2020). Members of this lineage, informally named “corallicolids”, exhibit substantial sequence diversity and variation in host association patterns, and their phylogenetic position, while not completely resolved, indicates that they do not fall within any of the currently recognized subgroups within apicomplexan taxonomy (Janouškovec et al. 2012; Kwong et al. 2019; Vohsen et al. 2020).

Despite a growing understanding of the diversity and distribution of this lineage, there remains no formal classification of it or its members. This is largely due to previous work generating non-comparable types of data from different potential members of the group. A coral-associated apicomplexan was first formally described by Upton and Peters (Upton and Peters 1986), based on morphology from histological sections of coral tissues. This organism, named *Gemmocystis cylindrus*, was proposed to be a member of the Agamococcidia. However, the description was not associated with any molecular data and no DNA sequence data has ever been procured from a verified sample of *G. cylindrus*. Later, an apicomplexan 18S rRNA gene from coral was identified by Toller et al. (2002), and given the designation “Genotype N”. These sequences were found to branch sister to the Eucoccidia clade (containing members such as *Toxoplasma* and *Eimeria*), but these sequences were never linked to a visualized cell or any other data. Subsequently, a lineage of apicomplexan plastid-encoded 16S rRNA gene sequences were also discovered to be associated with corals and designated “ARL-V” (Janouškovec et al. 2012). These were found to branch sister to all other apicomplexan plastids, but again were not linked to a specific cell or other data.

The taxonomic conclusions from these three kinds of data were not only contradictory, but because the data were not directly comparable, it remained uncertain if these represented one or more than one lineage of apicomplexan. This was ultimately reconciled through the combined use of microscopy and metagenomic sequencing from both plastid and nuclear genomes, leading to the identification of a single clade of coral-infecting apicomplexans, informally dubbed “corallicolids” (Kwong et al. 2019). Here, we isolate and document the first living cells from this clade, use single cell transcriptome data to confirm their identity, and propose a formal taxonomic description of this lineage, along with two new species, to serve as points-of-reference for future studies of this group.

## METHODS AND MATERIALS

### Sample collection and light microscopy

Corallicolid samples from the green mushroom coral, *Rhodactis* sp., were taken from an aquarium in Vancouver, BC, Canada, as described in a previous study (Kwong et al. 2019). Based on morphology and the COX1 sequence (MH320096), the host specimen is part of the *Rhodactis inchoate*/*Rhodactis indosinensis* clade, a

corallimorph native to the Indo-Pacific (Oh et al. 2019). Two other corallicolids (sample\_10 and sample\_27) were isolated from anthozoans collected from Curaçao in April 2019, under the permits of the Dutch Antillean Government (Government reference: 2012/48584) provided to the CARMABI Foundation (CITES Institution code AN001). Both were collected at a depth of approximately 10 m; sample\_10 from Piscadera Bay (12.1217 N, 68.97 W) and sample\_27 from Mundo Nobo (12.1083 N, 68.953 W). Fragments of the yellow pencil coral *Madracis mirabilis* (sample\_10) and the golden zoanthid *Parazoanthus swiftii* (sample\_27) were crushed with a mortar and pestle, then viewed under a Leica DM IL LED inverted microscope. Video was recorded using an attached Sony A6000 digital camera. Individual cells with apicomplexan-like sporozoite morphology were isolated using glass micropipettes, washed twice in 0.2 µm filtered seawater, and were transferred into tubes containing 3 µl of RNase inhibitor solution (1 part 20 U/µl RNase Inhibitor [Thermo Fisher Scientific, Waltham, MA] in 19 parts 0.2% Triton X-100) and then frozen. Micrographs of the cells used for transcriptome sequencing are shown in Fig. S1.

### Transcriptome sequencing

Generation of cDNA via reverse transcription was carried out using the Smart-Seq2 protocol (Picelli et al. 2014), and cDNA concentration was quantified with a Qubit 2.0 Fluorometer (Thermo Fisher Scientific). Sequencing libraries were then prepared using the Nextera XT protocol (Illumina, San Diego, CA). Sample\_10 was sequenced on the Illumina NextSeq platform using 2 × 150 bp paired-end reads, and sample\_27 was sequenced on the Illumina MiSeq v2 platform using 2 × 250 bp paired-end reads. The sequencing reads were trimmed using TrimGalore 0.6.0 (Martin 2011) to remove adapter and primer sequences, and assembled with rnaSPAdes 3.13.2 (Bushmanova et al. 2019).

### Phylogenetic tree building

Nuclear 18S and 28S rRNA genes, mitochondrial genes (*cox1*, *cox3*, and *cob*), and plastid 16S and 23S rRNA genes were retrieved from the assembled transcripts based on BLAST similarity to existing sequences. Previously published sequences were retrieved from NCBI GenBank and by BLAST searches of genomic and transcriptomic datasets (Table S1). To maximize phylogenetic resolution for corallicolids, 18S rRNA gene sequences < 800 bp in length were excluded; for the 16S rRNA gene, sequences < 1,000 bp were excluded. Ribosomal RNA genes were predicted using RNAmmer 1.2 (Lagesen et al. 2007) and aligned using MUSCLE (Edgar 2004). Mitochondrial proteins were aligned with MAFFT (Katoh et al. 2002). Sequences were trimmed to remove unaligned 5' and 3' ends and then concatenated. Maximum likelihood trees were built in IQ-TREE 1.5.4 (Nguyen et al. 2015) with 1,000 bootstrap replicates. Substitution models were selected using ModelFinder (Kalyaanamoorthy et al. 2017).

Trees inferred using the Bayesian method were built in MrBayes 3.2.6 (Ronquist et al. 2012), using  $10^6$  generations, sampling every 500 generations, and a burn-in of 0.25. A haplotype network of the 16S rRNA gene was built with PopART (Leigh and Bryant 2015), using the median joining algorithm (Bandelt et al. 1999).

### Electron microscopy

For scanning electron microscopy, crushed coral slurries were fixed by mixing with 2.5% glutaraldehyde in seawater and kept at 4 °C. Samples were then rinsed 3× with seawater and postfixed with 1% osmium tetroxide in 0.1 M sodium cacodylate pH 7.34. Samples were washed 3× with 0.1 M sodium cacodylate and placed on a coverslip coated with 0.1% poly-L-lysine, then rinsed with distilled water and air dried in a humidified chamber. Dehydration was carried out with successive 30%, 50%, 70%, 80%, 90%, 95%, and 3 × 100% ethanol washes. Samples were dried with a Tousimis Autosamdri 815B critical point dryer (Tousimis, Rockville, MD), and sputter coated using a Cressington 208HR high resolution sputter coater (Cressington, Watford, UK). Samples were viewed with a Hitachi S-2600 variable pressure SEM (Hitachi, Tokyo, Japan). Transmission electron microscopy was conducted as previously described (Kwong et al. 2019).

## RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

### Description

The mesenterial filaments of the green mushroom coral *Rhodactis* sp. have previously been shown by TEM and in situ hybridization to be infected with a corallicolid (Kwong et al. 2019). We re-examined this tissue from a specimen kept in an aquarium by crushing filaments and examining them under light microscopy. Extracellular, motile cells with morphology reminiscent of apicomplexan motile or trophic stages were observed, albeit rarely. These cells were no more than 15 µm in length and 5 µm in width and exhibited adhesion to the substrate (Fig. 1C). Tissue sections were viewed under transmission electron microscopy, which showed the presence of intracellular cells displaying apicomplexan ultrastructural features (Fig. 1A, B). These include a sub-pellicular microtubule network, mitochondria with tubular cristae, and micronemes located toward the apical end of the cells. Numerous, distinctive dark-staining organelles up to 1.6 µm in length were also observed. Cells were encased within a parasitophorous vacuole, and two or more cells were often found within the same vacuole. This is suggestive of a reproductive process, plausibly endodyogeny since they did not display the rigid outer wall that is characteristic of oocysts and tissue cysts (Ferguson and Dubremetz 2007). However, the instance of two daughter cells developing within a mother cell (a direct feature of endodyogeny) was not clearly observed, and hence this could also represent another process. We propose the name *Corallicola*

*aquarius* gen. nov., sp. nov. for this corallicolid lineage hosted by *Rhodactis* sp.

Samples of the yellow pencil coral, *M. mirabilis*, from Curaçao (southern Caribbean) were also found to contain cells with apicomplexan-like morphologies, resembling that of sporozoites or a comparable motile life stage (Fig. 1D, E). Cells were modestly elastic in size and shape, but were no more than 20 µm in length and 5 µm in width. The cells displayed gliding motility, with movement directed toward the anterior and generally following a circular, clockwise path of travel (Videos S1 and S2). A flexible rostrum formed the anterior end, while the posterior end was characterized by strong affinity for adhesion to the substrate. These observations are consistent with the typical actin-myosin based gliding locomotion of the Apicomplexa (Frénel et al. 2017). Oocysts or other obvious reproductive forms were not observed in these samples. We propose the name *Anthozoaphila gnarlus* gen. nov., sp. nov. for the cells collected from *M. mirabilis*. A very similar motile cell was found in a non-stony anthozoan host, the golden zoanthid, *P. swiftii* (Fig. S1), which was subsequently confirmed by molecular data to be extremely closely related to *Anthozoaphila gnarlus* (see below).


### Taxonomic assignment

We performed RNA sequencing on several single cells of *A. gnarlus*, isolated from *M. mirabilis*. While none of these provided sufficient depth of coverage to be considered complete transcriptomes, we were able to retrieve transcripts corresponding to several nuclear, mitochondrial, and plastid genes. We also identified the nuclear rRNA operon and all three mitochondrial protein-coding genes from the *A. gnarlus*-like symbiont isolated from *P. swiftii*. Phylogenetic analyses of these markers showed the two symbionts were extremely closely related: across the nuclear 18S and 28S rRNA genes (5,054 bp) they shared 99.4% identity, and across the three mitochondrial genes (2,984 bp) they shared 99.5% identity. We examined the rest of the transcriptome data to determine if this high level of identity was due to cross-contamination, and found the presence of zoantharian (host contaminant) sequences only in the *P. swiftii* sample and Symbiodiniaceae reads only in the sample from *M. mirabilis* (a photosynthetic coral). This indicates that our results are authentic and that the two hosts indeed contain very closely related symbionts.

Together with the existing sequence data from *C. aquarius* (Kwong et al. 2019), we generated phylogenetic trees of both nuclear 18S + 28S rRNA and mitochondrial proteins (Fig. 2), and find that the corallicolids form a distinct, strongly supported clade that is separate from all other recognized apicomplexan taxa (Adl et al. 2019). Accordingly, we formally propose Corallicolida ord. nov., Corallicolidae fam. nov., to encompass the whole lineage of corallicolids.

Both nuclear and mitochondrial data suggest that the Corallicolida are related to coccidians, and probably most closely to Eimeriorina (e.g. *Toxoplasma*, *Eimeria*) and






**Figure 1** Morphology and structure of corallicolid cells. **A** and **B**. Transmission electron micrographs of *Corallicolaaquarius* from *Rhodactis* sp. These cells may represent endodyogeny or another reproductive life stage. Scale bar = 1  $\mu$ m. In **A**, three cells are present, within a putative parasitophorous vacuole (PV). In **B**, two cells are visible, adjacent to remnant cellular material from a possible third cell. Distinctive, darkly staining organelles (examples indicated with green arrows) are found throughout, including in the remains of the third cell in **B**. M = Mitochondria; MC = micronemes; MT = microtubule network. **C**. Light micrograph of *C. aquarius* from the green mushroom coral (*Rhodactis* sp.). N = Nucleus. Scale bar = 10  $\mu$ m. **D**. Light micrograph of *Anthozoophilagnarlus* from the yellow pencil coral (*Madracismirabilis*), alongside cells of Symbiodiniaceae (Sym) from the same sample. Anterior rostrum, A. Scale bar = 10  $\mu$ m. **E**. Scanning electron micrograph of *A. gnarlus* from coral sample\_10 (*M. mirabilis*). Scale bar = 5  $\mu$ m. Cells in **C**–**E** may represent sporozoites or other motile life stage.

Protococcidiorida to the exclusion of Adelorina. This is consistent with previous analyses based on 18S rRNA data (del Campo et al. 2019; Toller et al. 2002), but contrasts with the assignment of *G. cylindrus* to the Agamococcidiorida based on morphological analysis (Upton and Peters 1986), or the more basally branching position within the Apicomplexa as suggested by plastid phylogenies (Janouškovec et al. 2012). The resolution of these questions will require additional genomic data from representatives of both Corallicolida and Adeleorina, but all extant data are in agreement that Corallicolida do not branch within any of the recognized apicomplexan lineages.

Phylogenetic inference for apicomplexans based on plastid data appears to be particularly problematic. It has recently been shown that plastid gene trees are incongruent with phylogenomic trees based on nuclear data (which are considered to be much more signal-rich and, thus, accurate) (Mathur et al. 2020; Muñoz-Gómez et al. 2019). However, plastid 16S rRNA data remains useful for assessing fine-scale diversity within this clade, because the density of 16S rRNA sampling of corallicolids is significantly greater than with any other marker. An alignment of all available corallicolid 16S rRNA sequences longer than 1,000 bp showed an average of 98.6% identity between sequences, with phylogenetic clustering largely



**Figure 2** Phylogenetic placement of order Corallicolida. **A.** Nuclear rRNA gene phylogeny of the major divisions within the Apicomplexa. Tree was built with the maximum likelihood algorithm, using the TIM2 + R5 substitution model. Clades supported by phylogenomic studies are marked. **B.** Mitochondrial protein phylogeny of the Apicomplexa. Clades are colored as in A. Tree was built with the maximum likelihood algorithm, using the LG + F + I + G4 substitution model. Bootstrap support percentage indicated at nodes. Posterior probabilities from Bayesian tree, built using the A. GTR + G and B. LG + I + G models, indicated after the slash. Bar represents average substitutions per site, with same scale for both trees.

based on host origin (Fig. S2). These results recapitulate previous findings based on short 16S rRNA fragments that uncovered corallicolid variation between coral host species, as well as between ecological habitats (Kwong et al. 2019; Vohsen et al. 2020). Other divergent 16S rRNA gene sequences, such as that from the sea fan *Gorgonia ventalina* and that from a corallicolid plastid genome sequenced from the deep-water black coral *Leiopathes glaberrima* (Vohsen et al. 2020), may represent other species of corallicolids (Fig. S2B). A few sequences of apicomplexans that may be related to corallicolids, but in non-coral hosts, have been reported, such as a 16S rRNA sequence from anchovy gut (Fig. S2B), and the 18S rRNA sequences of some fish blood parasites (Hayes and Smit 2019). How the organisms bearing these sequences relate to Corallicolida remain to be examined.

Molecular data consistently show a clear separation between *C. aquarius* and *A. gnarlus* (16S rRNA at 98.6% identity, 18S rRNA at 97.3% identity based on trimmed, near-full length alignments), which supports splitting these taxa into different genera. In other related Apicomplexa taxa, such as *Toxoplasma*, *Neospora*, and *Besnoitia* spp., 16S rRNA identity between genera can be up to 97.3% and 18S rRNA up to 98.7%. At the mitochondrial locus,

the protein sequence identity across the three mitochondria-encoded genes range from 92.9% (*C. aquarius* and corallicolid from *Leiopathes*) to 95.5% (*C. aquarius* and *A. gnarlus*), which is comparable to differences between genera in the Coccidia, such as between *Isoospora* and *Eimeria* spp. (~96% identity).

Recognizing corallicolid diversity at the level of genera also provides more flexibility for future taxonomic studies of this group, with the aim of avoiding renaming taxa based on future data. On one hand, the clade designated Corallicolida includes many environmental sequences from still-undescribed organisms. This includes nuclear 18S rRNA sequences (e.g. the original Genotype-N sequences [Toller et al. 2002], which appear to fall close to or within *Anthozoaphila* based on their position in the tree), and plastid 16S rRNA (e.g. the original ARL-V sequences [Janouškovec et al. 2012]). Once these organisms are described, we expect that they will be formally included in the Corallicolida, and this proposal gives the flexibility to either include new species in existing or new genera, depending on their characteristics and phylogenetic position. This proposal also allows the most flexibility to reconcile the overall classification with the potential future “rediscovery” of *G. cylindrus*. There are currently no

molecular data from *G. cylindrus*, but similarities in morphology and localization within coral mesenterial filaments suggest that it is most likely a corallicolid. As such, we propose reassigning *G. cylindrus* to the Corallicolida, but keeping the designation of its distinct genus until further evidence can show where in the corallicolid phylogeny this taxon falls. *Gemmocystis cylindrus* was described from several coral species, with *D. cylindrus* chosen as the type host (Upton and Peters 1986). We now know from molecular surveys that corallicolids are diverse, and there is emerging evidence that their host-specificity is variable and complex, all suggesting that the various apicomplexans identified as *G. cylindrus* most likely do not represent a single discrete species. Ideally, *G. cylindrus* can be “re-discovered” from *D. cylindrus* at the type location, but even then it is not clear it will necessarily be the same species as observed in Upton and Peters in 1986. In the phylogeny, *Corallicola* and *Anthozoaphila* are very distantly related within the Corallicolida (i.e. they are about as far apart as possible with current sampling). Placing them both in new genera minimizes the possibility that any future molecular data attributed to *G. cylindrus* would necessitate their revision.

As to creating Corallicolida rather retaining Agamococcidiorida, we propose to abandon the latter name because its history is already too complex and contradictory. It was originally not proposed for *Gemmocystis*, but to include Rhytidocystidae as a subgroup in Eucoccidia (Levine 1979). The rhytidocystids have since been shown by molecular phylogeny and phylogenomics to fall outside the Coccidia entirely, and have been grouped with different taxa in a new class (Janouškovec et al. 2019; Leander and Ramey 2006; Mathur et al. 2020; Miroljubova et al. 2020; Rueckert and Leander 2009). To now retain this name to describe a lineage (Corallicolidae) that does not include another lineage it was originally proposed to describe (Rhytidocystidae) seems unnecessarily confusing, and we rejected this course of action.

### Future considerations

Corallicolids have now been found in a wide variety of anthozoan hosts (of which there are thought to be over 7,500 species [Daly et al. 2007]). They show a degree of host specificity, and molecular surveys consistently reveal substantial sequence-level diversity as well, altogether indicating that many new species and genera exist within the Corallicolida. Intriguingly, our analyses of corallicolid single cells from two anthozoans from Curaçao, the yellow pencil coral *M. mirabilis* and the sponge-dwelling zoantharian *P. swiftii*, suggested that similar symbionts strains can be found in different anthozoan hosts. This raises the prospect that some corallicolid lineages may live as generalists, while others may be more host restricted (Vohsen et al. 2020). Further work will hopefully shed light on the morphological, behavioral, and genomic variation in the corallicolid symbionts of divergent coral hosts, as well as better define their life cycles and host ranges.

Closer scrutiny of the life cycle of corallicolids will additionally help clarify the nature of their interaction with coral hosts. Some apicomplexans have complex life cycles with alternating host species, but there is currently no evidence that this is the case with Corallicolida, as their sequences have not been detected in any host else besides anthozoans (Kwong et al. 2019; Mathur et al. 2018). This suggests that they may be monoxenous. We observed both intracellular and motile forms of corallicolids, and sporadic oocyst-like structures were also observed (not shown), the identity of which remains to be genetically verified.

Lastly, the overall position of corallicolids within the Apicomplexa as a whole is becoming more clear, but still needs to be confirmed by phylogenomics. The outcome will affect how virtually every characteristic described in the future will be interpreted. For example, the impact of their retention of the ancestral chlorophyll biosynthetic genes (Kwong et al. 2019) on how we reconstruct the loss of photosynthesis would be very different if we accepted the basally branching position in plastid phylogenetic trees vs. the coccidian-related position in nuclear and mitochondrial trees. By formalizing the classification of Corallicolida, a pivotal clade with “transitional” features, we can begin tackling these evolutionary questions with renewed taxonomic clarity.

### TAXONOMIC SUMMARY

Phylum Apicomplexa Levine 1980, emend. Adl et al. 2005  
 Class Conoidasida Levine 1988  
 Order Corallicolida Kwong et al. 2021  
 Family Corallicolidae Kwong et al. 2021  
 Genus *Corallicola* Kwong et al. 2021  
 Species *Corallicola aquarius* Kwong et al. 2021  
 Genus *Anthozoaphila* Kwong et al. 2021  
 Species *Anthozoaphila gnarlus* Kwong et al. 2021  
 Genus *Gemmocystis* Upton and Peters 1986  
 Species *Gemmocystis cylindrus* Upton and Peters 1986

### Corallicolida ord. nov. Kwong et al. 2021

**Diagnosis:** A distinct and well-supported phylogenetic clade within the Apicomplexa based on 18S rRNA gene sequences. Presence of an intracellular stage as well as extracellular trophozoites. Cells colorless with smooth surface. Spherical or ovoid nucleus visible under light microscopy, located centrally or toward the posterior. Refractile bodies are absent. Plastid genome encodes genes for chlorophyll biosynthesis. All members of the order have so far been exclusively found in association with Anthozoa.

### Corallicolidae fam. nov. Kwong et al. 2021

**Included taxa:** *Corallicola gnarlus* gen. nov. sp. nov., *Anthozoaphila gnarlus* gen. nov. sp. nov.

**Diagnosis:** As for Corallicolida ord. nov.

**Type genus:** *Corallicola* gen. nov.

**Zoobank ID:** 92E743FA-86E9-41B2-9DCE-B7DEE47A4E18



***Corallicola* gen. nov. Kwong et al. 2021**

**Etymology:** L. n. *corallium* coral; L. suff. *-cola* from L. n. *incola* inhabitant, dweller; N.L. n. (nominative in apposition) *Corallicola* coral-dweller.

**Diagnosis:** Forms a distinct and well-supported phylogenetic lineage within the Corallicolidae based on 18S rRNA gene sequences.

**Type species:** *Corallicola aquarius* sp. nov.

**Zoobank ID:** 67BDC122-7134-42B1-9F96-B813FEF25CAC

***Corallicola aquarius* sp. nov. Kwong et al. 2021**

**Etymology:** L. mas. adj. *aquarius* pertaining to water, referring to its presence in a corallimorpharian from an aquarium.

**Diagnosis:** Trophozoites elongate with an approximate length and width of 12 and 3.5  $\mu\text{m}$ , respectively. Trophozoites elongate, exhibiting gliding motility. Cell displays a unique rRNA sequence phylotype represented by the type sequence. Found within the mesenterial filaments of *Rhodactis* sp. host.

**Type sequence:** 18S rRNA gene sequence (MH304760) and 28S rRNA gene sequence (MH304761).

**Type habitat:** Marine; host associated.

**Type host:** *Rhodactis* sp. Milne, Edwards & Haime, 1851 (Metazoa; Cnidaria; Anthozoa; Corallimorpharia; Discosomidae).

**Type material:** Fixed specimens have been deposited in the Beaty Biodiversity Museum at the University of British Columbia under accession number MI-PR150.

**Zoobank ID:** 59AC9E6A-31E8-4E6A-B8F9-F6BCA5EB0DF9

***Anthozoaphila* gen. nov. Kwong et al. 2021**

**Etymology:** N.L. n. *Anthozoa* reference to class Anthozoa; N.L. adj. *phila* from Gr. adj. *philōs* friendly to, loving; N.L. n. *Anthozoaphila* loving Anthozoa.

**Diagnosis:** Forms a distinct and well-supported phylogenetic lineage within the Corallicolidae based on 18S rRNA gene sequences. The genus type species was isolated from Curaçao and possessed 97.3% identity in the 18S rRNA gene sequence to the type species of *Corallicola* gen. nov.

**Type species:** *Corallicola gnarlus* sp. nov.

**Zoobank ID:** 842F200E-B18A-4B79-8183-E0C023B63B13

***Anthozoaphila gnarlus* sp. nov. Kwong et al. 2021**

**Etymology:** N.L. mas. adj. *gnarlus*, derived from Eng. adj. *gnarly*, referring to the challenging identification of this taxa, and to acknowledge previous work on coral-associated apicomplexans (*Gemmocystis*, genotype N, ARL-V).

**Diagnosis:** Trophozoites elongate with an approximate length and width of 18 and 3  $\mu\text{m}$ , respectively. Trophozoites elongate, exhibiting gliding motility. Cell displays a unique rRNA sequence phylotype represented by the type

sequence. Associated with the host *Madracis mirabilis* in the southern Caribbean.

**Type sequence:** 18S rRNA gene sequence (MW192638) and 28S rRNA gene sequence (MW192641).

**Type locality:** Curaçao (12.1217, -68.9700).

**Type habitat:** Marine; host associated.

**Type host:** *Madracis mirabilis* Duchassaing & Michelotti, 1860 (Metazoa; Cnidaria; Anthozoa; Scleractinia; Pocilloporidae).

**Type material:** Fixed specimens have been deposited in the Beaty Biodiversity Museum at the University of British Columbia under accession number MI-PR151.

**Zoobank ID:** D8A2C974-EC45-470A-B6D7-402CB5241D44

**ACKNOWLEDGMENTS**

We thank the CARMABI research station staff for facilities access and technical assistance, Racquel Singh and Emma George for help in sample collection, and Kevin Wakeman for advice on cell isolation. Funding was provided to W.K.K. by the Natural Sciences and Engineering Research Council of Canada (NSERC) Fellowship PDF-502457-2017 and a Killam Postdoctoral Research Fellowship, to N.A.T.I. by a NSERC Canadian Graduate Scholarship, to V.M. by a graduate fellowship from the University of British Columbia, and to P.J.K. by an Investigator Grant from the Gordon and Betty Moore Foundation (<https://doi.org/10.37807/GBMF9201>). The fieldwork component of this work was supported through UKRI NERC Workshop research grant NE/S013415/1.

**AUTHOR CONTRIBUTIONS**

W.K.K. and P.J.K. conceived the study. W.K.K., N.A.T.I., N.O., M.J.A.V., and P.J.K. performed research. W.K.K., V.M., and I.N. analyzed data. W.K.K. and P.J.K. wrote the manuscript, with input from all authors.

**DATA AVAILABILITY STATEMENT**

Mitochondrial and rRNA gene sequences generated in this study are deposited in NCBI GenBank under accession numbers MW192638–MW192642 and MW206707–MW206712. Accession numbers of sequences used to build phylogenies are listed in Table S1; sequence alignments have been uploaded to Dryad (<https://doi.org/10.5061/dryad.f7m0cfxv7>).

**LITERATURE CITED**

Adl, S. M., Bass, D., Lane, C. E., Lukeš, J., Schoch, C. L., Smirnov, A., Agatha, S., Berney, C., Brown, M. W., Burki, F., Cárdenas, P., Čepička, I., Chistyakova, L., Del Campo, J., Dunthorn, M., Edvardsen, B., Eglit, Y., Guillou, L., Hampl, V., Heiss, A. A., Hoppenrath, M., James, T. Y., Karnkowska, A., Karpov, S., Kim, E., Kolisko, M., Kudryavtsev, A., Lahr, D. J. G., Lara, E., Le Gall, L., Lynn, D. H., Mann, D. G., Massana, R., Mitchell, E. A. D., Morrow, C., Park, J. S., Pawlowski, J. W., Powell, M. J., Richter, D. J., Rueckert, S., Shadwick, L., Shimano, S., Spiegel, F.

- W., Torruella, G., Youssef, N., Zlatogursky, V. & Zhang, Q. 2019. Revisions to the classification, nomenclature, and diversity of eukaryotes. *J. Eukaryot. Microbiol.*, 66(1):4–119.
- Adl, S. M., Simpson, A. G., Farmer, M. A., Andersen, R. A., Andersen, O. R., Barta, J. R., Bowser, S. S., Brugerolle, G., Fensome, R. A., Fredericq, S., James, T. Y., Karpov, S., Kugrens, P., Krug, J., Lane, C. E., Lewis, L. A., Lodge, J., Lynn, D. H., Mann, D. G., McCourt, R. M., Mendoza, L., Moestrup, O., Mozley-Standridge, S. E., Nerad, T. A., Shearer, C. A., Smirnov, M., Spiegel, F. W. & Taylor, M. F. 2005. The new higher level classification of eukaryotes with emphasis on the taxonomy of protists. *J. Eukaryot. Microbiol.*, 52(5):399–451.
- Bandelt, H., Forster, P. & Röhl, A. 1999. Median-joining networks for inferring intraspecific phylogenies. *Mol. Biol. Evol.*, 16(1):37–48.
- Bushmanova, E., Antipov, D., Lapidus, A. & Pribelski, A. D. 2019. rnaSPAdes: a de novo transcriptome assembler and its application to RNA-Seq data. *Gigascience*, 8(9):giz100.
- Daly, M., Brugler, M. R., Cartwright, P., Collins, A. G., Dawson, M. N., Fautin, D. G., France, S. C., McFadden, C. S., Opresko, D. M., Rodriguez, E., Romano, S. L. & Stake, J. L. 2007. The phylum Cnidaria: a review of phylogenetic patterns and diversity 300 years after Linnaeus. *Zootaxa*, 1668:127–182.
- Del Campo, J., Heger, T. J., Rodríguez-Martínez, R., Worden, A. Z., Richards, T. A., Massana, R. & Keeling, P. J. 2019. Assessing the diversity and distribution of apicomplexans in host and free-living environments using high-throughput amplicon data and a phylogenetically informed reference framework. *Front. Microbiol.*, 10:2373.
- Edgar, R. C. 2004. MUSCLE: multiple sequence alignment with high accuracy and high throughput. *Nucleic Acids Res.*, 32(5):1792–1797.
- Ferguson, D. J. P. & Dubremetz, J. F. 2007. 2 – The ultrastructure of *Toxoplasma gondii*. In: Weiss, L. M. & Kim, K. (ed.), *Toxoplasma gondii* The Model Apicomplexan: Perspectives and Methods. Academic Press, New York, NY. p. 19–48.
- Frénal, K., Dubremetz, J. F., Lebrun, M. & Soldati-Favre, D. 2017. Gliding motility powers invasion and egress in Apicomplexa. *Nat. Rev. Microbiol.*, 15(11):645–660.
- Hayes, P. M. & Smit, N. J. 2019. Molecular insights into the identification and phylogenetics of the cosmopolitan marine fish blood parasite, *Haemogregarina bigemina* (Adeleorina: Haemogregarinidae). *Int. J. Parasitol. Parasites Wildl.*, 8:216–220.
- Janouškovec, J., Horák, A., Barott, K. L., Rohwer, F. L. & Keeling, P. J. 2012. Global analysis of plastid diversity reveals apicomplexan-related lineages in coral reefs. *Curr. Biol.*, 22(13):R518–R519.
- Janouškovec, J., Horák, A., Oborník, M., Lukes, J. & Keeling, P. J. 2010. A common red algal origin of the apicomplexan, dinoflagellate, and heterokont plastids. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA*, 107(24):10949–10954.
- Janouškovec, J., Paskerova, G. G., Miroliubova, T. S., Mikhailov, K. V., Birley, T., Aleoshin, V. V. & Simdyanov, T. G. 2019. Apicomplexan-like parasites are polyphyletic and widely but selectively dependent on cryptic plastid organelles. *eLife*, 8:e49662.
- Kalyaanamoorthy, S., Minh, B. Q., Wong, T. K. F., von Haeseler, A. & Jermiin, L. S. 2017. ModelFinder: fast model selection for accurate phylogenetic estimates. *Nat. Methods*, 14(6):587–589.
- Katoh, K., Misawa, K., Kuma, K. & Miyata, T. 2002. MAFFT: a novel method for rapid multiple sequence alignment based on fast Fourier transform. *Nucleic Acids Res.*, 30(14):3059–3066.
- Kwong, W. K., Del Campo, J., Mathur, V., Vermeij, M. J. A. & Keeling, P. J. 2019. A widespread coral-infecting apicomplexan with chlorophyll biosynthesis genes. *Nature*, 568(7750):103–107.
- Lagesen, K., Hallin, P., Rødland, E. A., Staerfeldt, H. H., Rognes, T. & Ussery, D. W. 2007. RNAmmer: consistent and rapid annotation of ribosomal RNA genes. *Nucleic Acids Res.*, 35(9):3100–3108.
- Leander, B. S. & Ramey, P. A. 2006. Cellular identity of a novel small subunit rDNA sequence clade of apicomplexans: description of the marine parasite *Rhytidocystis polygordiae* n. sp. (Host: *Polygordius* sp., Polychaeta). *J. Eukaryot. Microbiol.*, 53:280–291.
- Leigh, J. W. & Bryant, D. 2015. PopART: full-feature software for haplotype network construction. *Methods Ecol. Evol.*, 6(9):1110–1116.
- Levine, N. D. 1979. Agamococcidiorida ord. n. and Rhytidocystidae fam. n. for the coccidian genus *Rhytidocystis* Henneguy, 1907. *J. Protozool.*, 26:167–168.
- Levine, N. D. 1988. The Protozoan Phylum Apicomplexa, Vol. 2. CRC Press, Boca Raton.
- Levine, N. D., Corliss, J. O., Cox, F. E., Deroux, G., Grain, J., Honigberg, B. M., Leedale, G. F., Loeblich, A. R. 3rd, Lom, J., Lynn, D., Merinfeld, E. G., Page, F. C., Poljansky, G., Sprague, V., Vavra, J. & Wallace, F. G. 1980. A newly revised classification of the protozoa. *J. Protozool.*, 27(1):37–58.
- Martin, M. 2011. Cutadapt removes adapter sequences from high-throughput sequencing reads. *EMBnet J.*, 17(1):10–12.
- Mathur, V., Del Campo, J., Kolisko, M. & Keeling, P. J. 2018. Global diversity and distribution of close relatives of apicomplexan parasites. *Environ. Microbiol.*, 20(8):2824–2833.
- Mathur, V., Kwong, W. K., Husnik, F., Irwin, N. A. T., Kristmundsson, Á., Gestral, C., Freeman, M. & Keeling, P. J. 2020. Phylogenomics identifies a new major subgroup of apicomplexans, Marosporida class. nov., with extreme apicoplast genome reduction. *Genome Biol. Evol.* <https://doi.org/10.1093/gbe/evaa244>
- McFadden, G. I. & Waller, R. F. 1997. Plastids in parasites of humans. *BioEssays*, 19:1033–1040.
- Miroliubova, T. S., Simdyanov, T. G., Mikhailov, K. V., Aleoshin, V. V., Janouškovec, J., Belova, P. A. & Paskerova, G. G. 2020. Polyphyletic origin, intracellular invasion, and meiotic genes in the putatively asexual agamococcidians (Apicomplexa *incertae sedis*). *Sci. Rep.*, 10:15847.
- Moore, R. B., Oborník, M., Janouškovec, J., Chrudimský, T., Vancová, M., Green, D. H., Wright, S. W., Davies, N. W., Bolch, C. J., Heimann, K., Slapeta, J., Hoegh-Guldberg, O., Logsdon, J. M. & Carter, D. A. 2008. A photosynthetic alveolate closely related to apicomplexan parasites. *Nature*, 451(7181):959–963.
- Muñoz-Gómez, S. A., Durnin, K., Eme, L., Paight, C., Lane, C. E., Saffo, M. B. & Slamovits, C. H. 2019. *Nephromyces* represents a diverse and novel lineage of the Apicomplexa that has retained apicoplasts. *Genome Biol. Evol.*, 11(10):2727–2740.
- Nguyen, L. T., Schmidt, H. A., von Haeseler, A. & Minh, B. Q. 2015. IQ-TREE: a fast and effective stochastic algorithm for estimating maximum-likelihood phylogenies. *Mol. Biol. Evol.*, 32(1):268–274.
- Oh, R. M., Neo, M. L., Yap, N. W. L., Jain, S. S., Tan, R., Chen, C. A. & Huang, D. 2019. Citizen science meets integrated taxonomy to uncover the diversity and distribution of Corallimorpharia in Singapore. *Raffles Bull. Zool.*, 67:306–321.
- Paight, C., Slamovits, C. H., Saffo, M. B. & Lane, C. E. 2019. *Nephromyces* encodes a urate metabolism pathway and predicted peroxisomes, demonstrating that these are not ancient losses of apicomplexans. *Genome Biol. Evol.*, 11(1):41–53.



- Picelli, S., Faridani, O. R., Björklund, A. K., Winberg, G., Sagasser, S. & Sandberg, R. 2014. Full-length RNA-seq from single cells using Smart-seq2. *Nat. Protoc.*, 9(1):171–181.
- Ronquist, F., Teslenko, M., van der Mark, P., Ayres, D. L., Darling, A., Höhna, S., Larget, B., Liu, L., Suchard, M. A. & Huelsenbeck, J. P. 2012. MrBayes 3.2: efficient Bayesian phylogenetic inference and model choice across a large model space. *Syst. Biol.*, 61(3):539–542.
- Rueckert, S., Betts, E. L. & Tsaousis, A. D. 2019. The symbiotic spectrum: where do the gregarines fit? *Trends Parasitol.*, 35(9):687–694.
- Rueckert, S. & Leander, B. S. 2009. Phylogenetic position and description of *Rhytidocystis cyamus* sp. n. (Apicomplexa, Rhytidocystidae): a novel intestinal parasite of the north-eastern Pacific ‘stink worm’ (Polychaeta, Opheliidae, *Travisia pupa*). *Mar. Biodivers.*, 39(4):227.
- Toller, W., Rowan, R. & Knowlton, N. 2002. Genetic evidence for a protozoan (phylum Apicomplexa) associated with corals of the *Montastraea annularis* species complex. *Coral Reefs*, 21:143–146.
- Upton, S. & Peters, E. 1986. A new and unusual species of coccidium (Apicomplexa: Agamococcidiorida) from Caribbean scleractinian corals. *J. Invertebr. Pathol.*, 47(2):184–193.
- Vohsen, S. A., Anderson, K. E., Gade, A. M., Gruber-Vodicka, H. R., Dannenberg, R. P., Osman, E. O., Dubilier, N., Fisher, C. R. & Baums, I. B. 2020. Deep-sea corals provide new insight into the ecology, evolution, and the role of plastids in widespread apicomplexan symbionts of anthozoans. *Microbiome*, 8(1):34.

## SUPPORTING INFORMATION

Additional supporting information may be found online in the Supporting Information section at the end of the article.

**Figure S1.** Light micrographs of corallicolid cell isolates used for single cell RNA-seq.

**Figure S2.** Diversity within Corallicolida.

**Table S1.** List of sequences used in building phylogenetic trees.

**Video S1.** Gliding motility of *A. gnarlus* cell from crushed *M. mirabilis* slurry.

**Video S2.** Gliding motility of *A. gnarlus* cell from crushed *M. mirabilis* slurry.